

Amendments to the Drawings:

The attached two sheets of drawings includes changes to FIGS. 1 and 2. In FIG. 1, the lead line of reference numeral 2 (the lowest reference number 2 in the FIG.) and the lead line of reference numeral 1 (the lowest reference number 1 in the FIG.) have been reversed. In FIG. 2, the lip has been indicated and designated with the reference numeral 1.4. These sheets, which include FIG. 1, and FIGS. 2 and 3, respectively, replace the original sheets including FIG. 1, and FIGS. 2 and 3, respectively.

Attachment: Two Replacement Sheets

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

The claims are 5-8. Claims 1-4 have been canceled in favor of new claims 5-8 to improve their form. FIG. 1 has been amended to designate the sheet with the larger height with the reference numeral 1 and the sheet with the smaller height with the reference numeral 2. FIG. 2 has been amended to show the lip 1.4. Support for the amendments may be found, *inter alia*, in the disclosure at page 4, line 9 and the original claims and drawings. Reconsideration is expressly requested.

The drawings were objected to because FIG. 1 showed the lead line of reference numeral 2 (the lowest reference number 2 in the FIG.) pointed to the sheet with a larger height and the lead line of reference numeral 1 (the lowest reference number 1 in the FIG.) pointed to the sheet with a smaller height, when it should have been the other way around. In addition, the drawings were objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a) as failing to show the lip (1.4) as described in the specification (page 4, line 9). In response, Applicant has amended FIG. 1 to reverse the designations for the larger and smaller sheets and has amended FIG. 2 to show the lip 1.4. It is respectfully submitted that the foregoing amendments

overcome the objection of the Examiner to the drawings, and Applicant respectfully request that the objections on that basis be withdrawn.

Claims 1-4 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for the reasons set forth on pages 3-5 of the Office Action. In response, Applicant has canceled claims 1-4 in favor of new claims 5-8, which it is respectfully submitted overcomes the objections of the Examiner under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph.

In summary, claims 1-4 have been canceled, new claims 5-8 have been added, and the drawings have been amended. In view of the foregoing, it is respectfully requested that the claims be allowed and that this case be passed to issue..

Respectfully submitted,
Joaquin DEVESA COMPANY

COLLARD & ROE, P.C.
1077 Northern Boulevard
Roslyn, New York 11576
(516) 365-9802

Allison C. Collard, Reg.No.22,532
Frederick J. Dorchak, Reg.No.29,298
Attorneys for Applicant

FJD:djp

Enclosure: Appendix - 2 replacement sheets

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner of Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on October 14, 2005.



Melissa Konko